



On sharp bounds for spectral radius of nonnegative matrices

Hongying Lin & Bo Zhou

To cite this article: Hongying Lin & Bo Zhou (2017) On sharp bounds for spectral radius of nonnegative matrices, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 65:8, 1554-1565, DOI: [10.1080/03081087.2016.1246514](https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2016.1246514)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2016.1246514>



Published online: 20 Oct 2016.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 327



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

On sharp bounds for spectral radius of nonnegative matrices

Hongying Lin, Bo Zhou

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, P.R. China

ABSTRACT

We give sharp upper and lower bounds for the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix with positive row sums using average 3-row sums, compare these bounds with the existing bounds using the average 2-row sums by examples, and apply them to the adjacency matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix of a digraph or a graph.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 1 June 2016
Accepted 1 October 2016

COMMUNICATED BY

J. Y. Shao

KEYWORDS

Nonnegative matrix; spectral radius; average 3-row sum; adjacency matrix; signless Laplacian matrix

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS

15A18; 05C50

1. Introduction

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix. The spectral radius of A , denoted by $\rho(A)$, is the largest modulus of the eigenvalues of A . It is well known that $\rho(A)$ is an eigenvalue of A (see [1]). The spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix has been studied extensively, see, e.g. [1–8]. In dynamical systems or graph theory, one would like to compute the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix. For example, the topological entropy, one of the main invariants of a topological dynamical system which tells us how chaotic the system is, can often be computed as a logarithm of the spectral radius of a certain nonnegative matrix. [9]

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $r_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$ is called the i th row sum of A , and $M_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}r_j(A)$ is called the i th 2-row sum of A . For $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $r_i(A) > 0$, let $m_i(A) = \frac{M_i(A)}{r_i(A)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}r_j(A)}{r_i(A)}$, which is known as the i th average 2-row sum of A (see [7]), and let $s_i(A) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}M_j(A)}{r_i(A)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}a_{jk}r_k(A)}{r_i(A)}$, which we call the i th average 3-row sum of A (see [8]). Zhang and Li [8] gave sharp upper and lower bounds for the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix with positive row sums using maximum and minimum average 3-row sums, respectively, see Lemma 2.3 below.

In this paper, we also consider the spectral radius of some nonnegative matrices associated with a digraph (with no multiple arcs or loops) or a simple graph.

Let \vec{G} be a digraph with vertex set $V(\vec{G}) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. For $v_i, v_j \in V(G)$, the arc from v_i to v_j is denoted by (v_i, v_j) , and v_i is called the initial vertex of this arc. Let d_i^+ be the out-degree of v_i in \vec{G} , i.e. the number of arcs with initial vertex v_i . The adjacency matrix

of \vec{G} is the $n \times n$ matrix $A(\vec{G}) = (a_{ij})$, where $a_{ij} = 1$ if there is an arc from v_i to v_j and 0 otherwise. The signless Laplacian matrix of \vec{G} is the $n \times n$ matrix $Q(\vec{G}) = D(\vec{G}) + A(\vec{G})$, where $D(\vec{G})$ is the out-degree diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(d_1^+, \dots, d_n^+)$. The spectral radius of the adjacency matrix of a digraph has been studied extensively, see, e.g. [8,10–12]. The spectral radius of the signless Laplacian matrix of a digraph has been studied in [13].

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. If we replace each edge $v_i v_j$ of G by two arcs (v_i, v_j) and (v_j, v_i) , then we obtain a digraph \vec{G} . The adjacency matrix and signless Laplacian matrix of \vec{G} are called the adjacency matrix and signless Laplacian matrix of G , respectively. The spectral radii of the adjacency matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix of a graph have received much attention, see, e.g. [14–17].

In this paper, we give sharp upper and lower bounds for the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix with positive row sums using average 3-row sums, and characterize the equality cases if the matrix is irreducible. We compare those bounds with the existing bounds using the average 2-row sums by examples, and also apply those bounds to the adjacency matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix of a digraph or a graph.

2. Bounds for the spectral radius of nonnegative matrices

We first give several lemmas that will be used.

Lemma 2.1 [5]: *Let A be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix. Then*

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(A) \leq \rho(A) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(A).$$

Moreover, if A is irreducible, then either equality holds if and only if $r_1(A) = \dots = r_n(A)$.

For positive integers s and t , let $0_{s \times t}$ be the $s \times t$ zero matrix, and let $0_s = 0_{s \times s}$.

Lemma 2.2 [8]: *Let A be an $n \times n$ irreducible nonnegative matrix. Then A^2 is reducible if and only if there exists a permutation matrix P such that*

$$PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0_s & A_1 \\ A_2 & 0_{n-s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $A_2 A_1$ and $A_1 A_2$ are irreducible, and $\rho(A_1 A_2) = \rho(A^2)$.

Lemma 2.3 [8]: *Let A be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix with positive row sums. Then*

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sqrt{s_i(A)} \leq \rho(A) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sqrt{s_i(A)}.$$

Moreover, if A is irreducible, then either equality holds if and only if $m_1(A) = \dots = m_n(A)$ when A^2 is irreducible, and there is a permutation matrix P such that $PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0_r & A_1 \\ A_2 & 0_{n-r} \end{pmatrix}$, $m_{\sigma(1)}(A) = \dots = m_{\sigma(r)}(A)$, and $m_{\sigma(r+1)}(A) = \dots = m_{\sigma(n)}(A)$ when A^2 is reducible, where σ is a permutation on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ which corresponds to the permutation matrix P .

Next we give a sharp upper bound for the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix.

Theorem 2.1: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix with positive row sums r_1, \dots, r_n and with average 3-row sums $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n$. Let M be the largest diagonal element, and N the largest off-diagonal element of A . Let $b = \max \left\{ \frac{r_i}{r_j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n \right\}$ and $\theta = M^2 + N^2(n - 1) - 2MNB - (n - 2)N^2b$. Suppose that $N > 0$ and $s_1 \geq \theta$ if $b = 1$, and $s_1 > \theta$ if $b > 1$. For $1 \leq l \leq n$, let

$$\Phi_l = \frac{s_l + \theta + \sqrt{(s_l - \theta)^2 + 4(2MN + (n - 2)N^2)b \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l)}}{2}.$$

Then $\rho(A) \leq \sqrt{\Phi_l}$. Moreover, if A is irreducible, then $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\Phi_l}$ for some $1 \leq l \leq n$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) if $l = 1$, then $m_1(A) = \dots = m_n(A)$ when A^2 is irreducible, and $PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0_r & A_1 \\ A_2 & 0_{n-r} \end{pmatrix}$ for some permutation matrix P with $m_{\sigma(1)}(A) = \dots = m_{\sigma(r)}(A)$ and $m_{\sigma(r+1)}(A) = \dots = m_{\sigma(n)}(A)$ when A^2 is reducible, where σ is a permutation on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ which corresponds to the permutation matrix P ;
- (ii) if $2 \leq l \leq n$, then $s_1 = \dots = s_n$.

Proof: If $l = 1$, then $\Phi_l = \frac{s_1 + \theta + |s_1 - \theta|}{2} = s_1$, and thus the result follows immediately from Lemma 2.3.

Suppose that $2 \leq l \leq n$.

If $b = 1$, then $r_1 = \dots = r_n$, and thus by definition, we have $s_1 = \dots = s_n$. Since $s_1 \geq \theta$, we have $\Phi_l = \Phi_1 = \frac{s_1 + \theta + |s_1 - \theta|}{2} = s_1$. By Lemma 2.1, $\rho(A) = r_1 = \sqrt{s_1} = \sqrt{\Phi_l}$.

Suppose that $b > 1$.

Let $U = \text{diag}(x_1 r_1, \dots, x_{l-1} r_{l-1}, r_l, \dots, r_n)$, where $x_i \geq 1$ is a variable to be determined later for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$. Let $B = U^{-1} A^2 U$. Obviously, A^2 and B have the same eigenvalues. Then $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\rho(A^2)} = \sqrt{\rho(B)}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$, since $a_{ii} \leq M$, $\frac{r_k}{r_i} \leq b$ for $1 \leq k \leq l - 1$ and $k \neq i$, and $a_{ij} \leq N$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(B) &= r_i(U^{-1} A^2 U) \\ &= \frac{1}{r_i x_i} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} r_k x_k \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} a_{jk} + \frac{1}{r_i x_i} \sum_{k=l}^n r_k \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} a_{jk} \\ &= \frac{1}{x_i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} a_{jk} \frac{r_k}{r_i} (x_k - 1) + \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} a_{jk} r_k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_i} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq l-1 \\ k \neq i}} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} a_{jk} \frac{r_k}{r_i} (x_k - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} a_{ji} (x_i - 1) + \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} r_k \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_i} \left[\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq l-1 \\ k \neq i}} \left(a_{ii} a_{ik} + a_{ik} a_{kk} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i, k}} a_{ij} a_{jk} \right) \frac{r_k}{r_i} (x_k - 1) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left(a_{ii}a_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i}} a_{ij}a_{ji} \right) (x_i - 1) + s_i \Big] \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{x_i} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq l-1 \\ k \neq i}} (2MN + (n - 2)N^2) b(x_k - 1) + (M^2 + (n - 1)N^2)(x_i - 1) + s_i \right) \\
 = & \frac{1}{x_i} \left((2MN + (n - 2)N^2) b \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (x_k - 1) \right. \\
 & \left. + (M^2 + (n - 1)N^2 - 2MNb - (n - 2)N^2b)(x_i - 1) + s_i \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

with equality when $x_k > 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq l - 1$ only if (a) holds: (a) $a_{kk} = M$, $a_{ij} = N$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $j \neq i$.

For $l \leq i \leq n$, since $s_i \leq s_l$, $a_{ii} \leq M$, $\frac{r_k}{r_i} \leq b$ for $1 \leq k \leq l - 1$, and $a_{ij} \leq N$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_i(B) & = r_i(U^{-1}A^2U) \\
 & = \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}a_{jk}r_kx_k + \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}a_{jk}r_k \\
 & = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}a_{jk} \frac{r_k}{r_i} (x_k - 1) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{ij}}{r_i} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}r_k \\
 & = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \left(a_{ii}a_{ik} + a_{ik}a_{kk} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i,k}} a_{ij}a_{jk} \right) \frac{r_k}{r_i} (x_k - 1) + s_i \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (2MN + (n - 2)N^2) b(x_k - 1) + s_l \\
 & = (2MN + (n - 2)N^2) b \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (x_k - 1) + s_l
 \end{aligned}$$

with equality when $x_k > 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq l - 1$ only if (b) and (c) hold: (b) $a_{ii} = a_{kk} = M$, $a_{ij} = N$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$; (c) $s_i = s_l$.

For $1 \leq l \leq n$, from the expression of Φ_l , we have

$$\Phi_l^2 - \Phi_l(s_l + \theta) + s_l\theta - (2MN + (n - 2)N^2)b \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l) = 0,$$

and thus

$$(2MN + (n - 2)N^2)b \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l) = (\Phi_l - s_l)(\Phi_l - \theta).$$

If $\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l) > 0$, then $\Phi_l > \frac{s_l + \theta + |s_l - \theta|}{2} \geq \frac{s_l + \theta - (s_l - \theta)}{2} = \theta$, and otherwise, $s_1 = \dots = s_l$, and since $s_1 - \theta > 0$, we have $\Phi_l = \Phi_1 = \frac{s_1 + \theta + |s_1 - \theta|}{2} = s_1 > \theta$. For $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$, let $x_i = 1 + \frac{s_i - s_l}{\Phi_l - \theta}$. Obviously, $x_i \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (2MN + (n - 2)N^2)b \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (x_k - 1) &= \frac{(2MN + (n - 2)N^2)b \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l)}{\Phi_l - \theta} \\ &= \Phi_l - s_l. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(B) &\leq \frac{\Phi_l - s_l + (M^2 + (n - 1)N^2 - 2MNb - (n - 2)N^2b) \cdot \frac{s_i - s_l}{\Phi_l - \theta} + s_i}{1 + \frac{s_i - s_l}{\Phi_l - \theta}} \\ &= \Phi_l, \end{aligned}$$

and for $l \leq i \leq n$,

$$r_i(B) \leq \Phi_l - s_l + s_l = \Phi_l.$$

Now by Lemma 2.1, $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\rho(B)} \leq \sqrt{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(B)} \leq \sqrt{\Phi_l}$.

Suppose that A is irreducible. Suppose that $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\Phi_l}$ for some l with $2 \leq l \leq n$. Then $\rho(B) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(B) = \Phi_l$.

If A^2 is irreducible, then so is B . By Lemma 2.1, $r_1(B) = \dots = r_n(B) = \Phi_l$, and thus from the above arguments, (a) holds for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$, and (b) and (c) hold for $l \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that $s_1 > s_l$. Let t be the smallest integer such that $s_t = s_l$, where $2 \leq t \leq l$. For $1 \leq k \leq t - 1$, since $s_k > s_l$, we have $x_k > 1$. From (a) and (b), we have $a_{ii} = M$ and $a_{ij} = N$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $j \neq i$, and thus $r_1 = \dots = r_n = M + (n - 1)N$, implying that $b = 1$, a contradiction. Then $s_1 = s_l$, and thus we have from (c) that $s_1 = \dots = s_n$.

Suppose that A^2 is reducible. Then by Lemma 2.2, there is a permutation matrix P such that $PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0_s & A_1 \\ A_2 & 0_{n-s} \end{pmatrix}$, where A_2A_1 and A_1A_2 are irreducible, and $\rho(A_2A_1) = \rho(A_1A_2) = \rho(A^2)$. Let $W = PUP^T$. Obviously, W is a diagonal matrix. We partition W as $W = \begin{pmatrix} W_1 & 0_{s \times (n-s)} \\ 0_{(n-s) \times s} & W_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $Y_1 = W_1^{-1}A_1A_2W_1$ and $Y_2 = W_2^{-1}A_2A_1W_2$. Obviously, Y_1 and Y_2 are irreducible. Then

$$PBP^T = PU^{-1}P^T \begin{pmatrix} A_1A_2 & 0_{s \times (n-s)} \\ 0_{(n-s) \times s} & A_2A_1 \end{pmatrix} PUP^T = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & 0_{s \times (n-s)} \\ 0_{(n-s) \times s} & Y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\rho(Y_1) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} r_i(Y_1) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(PBP^T) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(B) = \Phi_l.$$

Note that $\rho(Y_1) = \rho(A_1A_2) = \rho(A^2) = \rho(B) = \Phi_l$. Thus $\rho(Y_1) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} r_i(Y_1) = \Phi_l$. Since Y_1 is irreducible, $r_1(Y_1) = \dots = r_s(Y_1) = \Phi_l$. Similarly, we have $r_1(Y_2) = \dots = r_{n-s}(Y_2) = \Phi_l$. Thus $r_1(PBP^T) = \dots = r_n(PBP^T) = \Phi_l$, i.e. $r_1(B) = \dots = r_n(B) = \Phi_l$. By above argument, we have $s_1 = \dots = s_n$.

Conversely, if $s_1 = \dots = s_n$, then $\Phi_l = s_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$ and by Lemma 2.1, $\rho(B) = s_1$, and thus $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\rho(B)} = \sqrt{\Phi_l}$. □

In [7], the following upper bound for the spectral radius was given.

Theorem 2.2: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix with positive row sums r_1, \dots, r_n and with average 2-row sums $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n$. Let M be the largest diagonal element, and N the largest off-diagonal element of A . Let $b = \max \left\{ \frac{r_i}{r_j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n \right\}$. Suppose that $N > 0$. For $1 \leq l \leq n$, Let

$$\Psi_l = \frac{m_l + M - Nb + \sqrt{(m_l - M + Nb)^2 + 4Nb \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (m_k - m_l)}}{2}.$$

Then $\rho(A) \leq \Psi_l$. Moreover, if A is irreducible, then $\rho(A) = \Psi_l$ for some l with $1 \leq l \leq n$ if and only if $m_1 = \dots = m_n$, or for some t with $2 \leq t \leq n$, $a_{ii} = M$ for $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, $a_{ik} = N$ and $\frac{r_k}{r_i} = b$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ for $1 \leq k \leq t - 1$ with $k \neq i$, and $m_t = \dots = m_n$.

Consider

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In notation of Theorem 2.1, $s_1 = \frac{267}{7} \approx 38.1429$, $s_2 = 36$, $s_3 = \frac{104}{3} \approx 34.6667$, $s_4 = \frac{173}{5} = 34.6$, $M = 3$, $N = 2$, $b = \frac{7}{5}$, and $\theta = -7$, implying that $\sqrt{\Phi_1} \approx 6.176$, $\sqrt{\Phi_2} \approx 6.1117$, $\sqrt{\Phi_3} \approx 6.13846$ and $\sqrt{\Phi_4} \approx 6.1429$, and thus $\rho(A_1) \leq 6.1117$. In notation of Theorem 2.2, $m_1 = \frac{44}{7} \approx 6.2857$, $m_2 = 6$, $m_3 = \frac{35}{6} \approx 5.833$, $m_4 = \frac{29}{5} = 5.8$, $M = 3$, $N = 2$, and $b = \frac{7}{5}$, implying that $\Psi_1 \approx 6.2857$, $\Psi_2 \approx 6.1348$, $\Psi_3 \approx 6.1258$ and $\Psi_4 \approx 6.139$, and thus $\rho(A_1) \leq 6.1258$. The upper bound in Theorem 2.1 is smaller than the one in Theorem 2.2.

Consider

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In notation of Theorem 2.1, $s_1 = 178$, $s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = \frac{2305}{13} \approx 177.3077$, $M = 5$, $N = 4$, $b = \frac{14}{13}$, and $\theta = -\frac{59}{13}$, implying that $\sqrt{\Phi_1} \approx 13.3417$, $\sqrt{\Phi_2} = \sqrt{\Phi_3} = \sqrt{\Phi_4} \approx 13.3268$, and thus $\rho(A_2) \leq 13.3268$. In notation of Theorem 2.2, $m_1 = \frac{187}{14} \approx 13.3571$, $m_2 = m_3 = m_4 = \frac{173}{13} \approx 13.3077$, $M = 5$, $N = 4$ and $b = \frac{14}{13}$, implying that $\Psi_1 = \frac{187}{14}$, $\Psi_2 = \Psi_3 = \Psi_4 = 7 + \sqrt{40}$, and thus $\rho(A_2) \leq 7 + \sqrt{40}$. Note that $a_{11} = 5$, $a_{i1} = 4$ and $\frac{r_1}{r_i} = \frac{14}{13}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 4$, and $m_2 = m_3 = m_4$. Thus $\rho(A_2) = \Psi_2 = 7 + \sqrt{40} \approx 13.3246$. The upper bound in Theorem 2.2 is smaller than (but very close to) the one in Theorem 2.1.

The above examples show that in general the upper bounds in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are incomparable.

Next we give a sharp lower bound for the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix.

Theorem 2.3: Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix with positive row sums r_1, \dots, r_n and with average 3-row sums $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n$. Let S be the smallest diagonal element, and T the smallest off-diagonal element of A . Let $c = \min \left\{ \frac{r_i}{r_j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n \right\}$ and $\gamma = S^2 + (n - 1)T^2 - 2STc - (n - 2)T^2c$. Suppose that $s_n > \gamma$. Let

$$\phi_n = \frac{s_n + \gamma + \sqrt{(s_n - \gamma)^2 + 4(2ST + (n - 2)T^2)c \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (s_k - s_n)}}{2}.$$

Then $\rho(A) \geq \sqrt{\phi_n}$. Moreover, if A is irreducible, then $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\phi_n}$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) if $T = 0$, then $m_1(A) = \dots = m_n(A)$ when A^2 is irreducible, and $PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0_r & A_1 \\ A_2 & 0_{n-r} \end{pmatrix}$ for some permutation matrix P with $m_{\sigma(1)}(A) = \dots = m_{\sigma(r)}(A)$ and $m_{\sigma(r+1)}(A) = \dots = m_{\sigma(n)}(A)$ when A^2 is reducible, where σ is a permutation on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ which corresponds to the permutation matrix P ;
- (ii) if $T > 0$, then $s_1 = \dots = s_n$.

Proof: If $T = 0$, then $\phi_n = s_n$, and thus the result follows immediately from Lemma 2.3.

Suppose in the following that $T > 0$.

Let $U = \text{diag}(x_1 r_1, \dots, x_{n-1} r_{n-1}, r_n)$, where $x_i \geq 1$ is a variable to be determined later for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Let $B = U^{-1}A^2U$. Obviously, A^2 and B have the same eigenvalues. Then $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\rho(A^2)} = \sqrt{\rho(B)}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, since $a_{ii} \geq S$, $\frac{r_k}{r_i} \geq c$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $k \neq i$, and $a_{ij} \geq T$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(B) &= \frac{1}{x_i} \left[\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ k \neq i}} \left(a_{ii}a_{ik} + a_{ik}a_{kk} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i, k}} a_{ij}a_{jk} \right) \frac{r_k}{r_i} (x_k - 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(a_{ii}a_{ii} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i}} a_{ij}a_{ji} \right) (x_i - 1) + s_i \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{x_i} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ k \neq i}} (2ST + (n - 2)T^2) c(x_k - 1) + (S^2 + (n - 1)T^2)(x_i - 1) + s_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_i} \left((2ST + (n - 2)T^2) c \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (x_k - 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (S^2 + (n - 1)T^2 - 2STc - (n - 2)T^2c)(x_i - 1) + s_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

with equality when $x_k > 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ only if (a) holds: (a) $a_{kk} = S$, $a_{jk} = T$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $j \neq k$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(B) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (2ST + (n - 2)T^2) c(x_k - 1) + s_n \\ &= (2ST + (n - 2)T^2) c \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (x_k - 1) + s_n \end{aligned}$$

with equality $x_k > 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ only if (b) holds: (b) $a_{nn} = a_{kk} = S$ and $a_{nj} = T$ for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$.

From the expression of ϕ_n , we have $\phi_n \geq \frac{s_n + \gamma + |s_n - \gamma|}{2} = s_n > \gamma$. For $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, let $x_i = 1 + \frac{s_i - s_n}{\phi_n - \gamma}$. Obviously, $x_i \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (2ST + (n - 2)T^2)c \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (x_k - 1) &= \frac{(2ST + (n - 2)T^2)c \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (s_k - s_n)}{\phi_n - \gamma} \\ &= \phi_n - s_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(B) &\geq \frac{\phi_n - s_n + (S^2 + (n - 1)T^2 - 2STc - (n - 2)T^2c) \cdot \frac{s_i - s_n}{\phi_n - \gamma} + s_i}{1 + \frac{s_i - s_n}{\phi_n - \gamma}} \\ &= \phi_n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r_n(B) \geq \phi_n - s_n + s_n = \phi_n.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, $\rho(A) \geq \sqrt{\rho(B)} \geq \sqrt{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(B)} \geq \sqrt{\phi_n}$.

Suppose that A is irreducible. If $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\phi_n}$, then $\rho(B) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} r_i(B) = \phi_n$, and thus by similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $s_1 = \dots = s_n$.

Conversely, if $s_1 = \dots = s_n$, then $\phi_n = s_n$ and by Lemma 2.1, $\rho(B) = s_n = \phi_n$, and thus $\rho(A) = \sqrt{\rho(B)} = \sqrt{\phi_n}$. □

In [7], the following lower bound for the spectral radius was given.

Theorem 2.4: *Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix with positive row sums r_1, \dots, r_n and with average 2-row sums $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n$. Let S be the smallest diagonal element, and T the smallest off-diagonal element of A . Let $c = \min \left\{ \frac{r_j}{r_i} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n \right\}$. Let*

$$\psi_n = \frac{m_n + S - Tc + \sqrt{(m_n - S + Tc)^2 + 4Tc \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (m_k - m_n)}}{2}.$$

Then $\rho(A) \geq \psi_n$. Moreover, if A is irreducible, then $\rho(A) = \psi_n$ if and only if $m_1 = \dots = m_n$, or $T > 0$ and for some t with $2 \leq t \leq n$, $a_{ii} = S$ for $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, $a_{ik} = T$ and $\frac{r_k}{r_i} = c$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ for $1 \leq k \leq t - 1$ with $k \neq i$, and $m_t = \dots = m_n$.

Consider

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In notation of Theorem 2.3, $s_1 = \frac{257}{3} \approx 85.6667$, $s_2 = s_3 = \frac{829}{10} = 82.9$, $s_4 = 79$, $S = 1$, $T = 1$, $c = \frac{4}{5}$, and $\gamma = \frac{4}{5}$, implying that $\sqrt{\phi_4} \approx 8.89$, and thus $\rho(A_3) \geq 8.89$. Obviously, A_3 is permutation similar to

$$A'_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then $\rho(A'_3) = \rho(A_3)$. In notation of Theorem 2.4, $m_1 = \frac{85}{9} \approx 9.4444$, $m_2 = m_3 = \frac{91}{10} = 9.1$, $m_4 = \frac{35}{4} = 8.75$, $S = 1$, $T = 1$, and $c = \frac{4}{5}$, implying that $\psi_4 \approx 8.8785$, and thus $\rho(A'_3) \geq 8.8785$. The lower bound in Theorem 2.3 is larger than the one in Theorem 2.4.

Consider

$$A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In notation of Theorem 2.3, $s_1 = \frac{851}{4} = 212.75$, $s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = \frac{1041}{5} = 208.2$, $S = 1$, $T = 3$, $c = \frac{4}{5}$, and $\gamma = \frac{44}{5}$, implying that $\sqrt{\phi_4} \approx 14.4434$, and thus $\rho(A_3) \geq 14.4443$. In notation of Theorem 2.4, $m_1 = \frac{59}{4} = 14.75$, $m_2 = m_3 = m_4 = \frac{72}{5} = 14.4$, $S = 1$, $T = 3$, and $c = \frac{4}{5}$, implying that $\psi_4 = \frac{13+\sqrt{253}}{2}$, and thus $\rho(A_4) \geq \frac{13+\sqrt{253}}{2}$. Note that $a_{11} = 1$, $a_{i1} = 3$ and $\frac{r_i}{r_1} = \frac{4}{5}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 4$, and $m_2 = m_3 = m_4$. We have $\rho(A_4) = \frac{13+\sqrt{253}}{2} \approx 14.45299$. The lower bound in Theorem 2.4 is larger than (but very close to) the one in Theorem 2.3.

The above examples show that in general the lower bounds in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 are incomparable.

3. Applications

In this section, we consider the applications of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 to some matrices associated to digraphs and graphs.

First we consider digraphs.

Let \vec{G} be an n -vertex digraph with $\delta^+ > 0$, where $V(\vec{G}) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and δ^+ is the minimum out-degree of \vec{G} . Let Δ^+ be the maximum out-degree of \vec{G} . For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $m_i(A(\vec{G})) = \frac{\sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E(\vec{G})} d_j^+}{d_i^+}$, which is known as the average 2-out-degree of vertex v_i in \vec{G} , and $s_i(A(\vec{G})) = \frac{\sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E(\vec{G})} \sum_{(v_j, v_k) \in E(\vec{G})} d_k^+}{d_i^+}$, which we call the average 3-out-degree of vertex v_i in \vec{G} .

A digraph \vec{G} is bipartite if $V(\vec{G}) = X \cup Y$, $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, and the arc set is a subset of $(X \times Y) \cup (Y \times X)$. Here X and Y are the partite sets.

Corollary 3.1: *Let \vec{G} be a digraph on n vertices with minimum out-degree $\delta^+ > 0$ and average 3-out-degrees $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n$. Let $\theta = n - 1 - \frac{(n-2)\Delta^+}{\delta^+}$. Then for $1 \leq l \leq n$,*

$$\rho(A(\vec{G})) \leq \sqrt{\frac{s_l + \theta + \sqrt{(s_l - \theta)^2 + \frac{4(n-2)\Delta^+}{\delta^+} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l)}}{2}}.$$

Moreover, if \vec{G} is strongly connected, equality holds for some $1 \leq l \leq n$ if and only if \vec{G} is a non-bipartite digraph with equal average 2-out-degrees or \vec{G} is a bipartite digraph in

which vertices in the same partite set have equal average 2-out-degrees when $l = 1$, and $s_1 = \dots = s_n$ when $2 \leq l \leq n$.

Proof: In the notation of Theorem 2.1, $M = 0$, $N = 1$ and $b = \frac{\Delta^+}{\delta^+}$. If $b = 1$, then $s_1 = \dots = s_n$, and thus $s_1 \geq 1 = \theta$. If $b > 1$, then $b \geq \frac{n-1}{n-2}$ and $\theta \leq 0$, from which we have $s_1 > \theta$. If \vec{G} is strongly connected, then $A(\vec{G})$ is irreducible, and by Lemma 2.2, $(A(\vec{G}))^2$ is irreducible if and only if \vec{G} is not bipartite. Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.1. \square

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $m_i(Q(\vec{G})) = d_i^+ + \frac{1}{d_i^+} \sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E(\vec{G})} d_j^+$, which is known as the signless Laplacian average 2-out-degree of vertex v_i in G , and

$$s_i(Q(\vec{G})) = d_i^{+2} + \sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E(\vec{G})} d_j^+ + \frac{1}{d_i^+} \sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E(\vec{G})} \left(d_j^{+2} + \sum_{(v_j, v_k) \in E(\vec{G})} d_k^+ \right),$$

which we call the signless Laplacian average 3-out-degree of vertex v_i in \vec{G} .

Corollary 3.2: Let \vec{G} be a digraph on n vertices with minimum out-degree $\delta^+ > 0$ and signless Laplacian average 3-out-degrees $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n$. Let $\theta = (\Delta^+)^2 + (n - 1) - \frac{2(\Delta^+)^2}{\delta^+} - \frac{(n-2)\Delta^+}{\delta^+}$. Then for $1 \leq l \leq n$,

$$\rho(Q(\vec{G})) \leq \sqrt{\frac{s_l + \theta + \sqrt{(s_l - \theta)^2 + 4(2\Delta^+ + n - 2)\frac{\Delta^+}{\delta^+} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l)}}{2}}.$$

Moreover, if \vec{G} is strongly connected, equality holds for some $1 \leq l \leq n$ if and only if \vec{G} has equal signless Laplacian average 2-out-degrees when $l = 1$, and $s_1 = \dots = s_n$ when $2 \leq l \leq n$.

Proof: In the notation of Theorem 2.1, $M = \Delta^+$, $N = 1$ and $b = \frac{\Delta^+}{\delta^+}$. If $b = 1$, then $s_1 = \dots = s_n$ and $s_1 \geq (\Delta^+ - 1)^2 = \theta$. If $b > 1$, then $b \geq \frac{n-1}{n-2}$, and thus $\theta < 0$, from which we have $s_1 > \theta$. If \vec{G} is strongly connected, then $Q(\vec{G})$ and $(Q(\vec{G}))^2$ are irreducible. Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.1. \square

Next we consider graphs.

Let G be an n -vertex graph without isolated vertices, where $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Let Δ and δ be the maximum and minimum degree of G , respectively. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $m_i(A(G)) = \frac{\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} d_j}{d_i}$, which is known as the average 2-degree of vertex v_i in G , and $s_i(A(G)) = \frac{\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sum_{v_j v_k \in E(G)} d_k}{d_i}$, which we call the average 3-degree of vertex v_i in G .

By Corollary 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.3: Let G be a graph on n vertices without isolated vertices with average 3-degrees $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n$. Let $\theta = n - 1 - (n - 2)\frac{\Delta}{\delta}$. Then for $1 \leq l \leq n$,

$$\rho(A(G)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{s_l + \theta + \sqrt{(s_l - \theta)^2 + \frac{4(n-2)\Delta}{\delta} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l)}}{2}}.$$

Moreover, if G is connected, then equality holds for some $1 \leq l \leq n$ if and only if G is a non-bipartite graph with equal average 2-degrees or G is a bipartite graph in which vertices in the same partite set have equal average 2-degrees when $l = 1$, and $s_1 = \dots = s_n$ when $2 \leq l \leq n$.

Let H be a graph obtained by attaching one pendant vertex to each pendant vertex of a 4-vertex star. It is easy seen that each vertex of H has the same average 3-degree 4. By Corollary 3.3, $\rho(H) = 2$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $m_i(Q(G)) = d_i + \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} d_j$, which is known as the signless Laplacian average 2-degree of vertex v_i in G , and

$$s_i(Q(G)) = d_i^2 + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} d_j + \frac{\sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (d_j^2 + \sum_{v_j v_k \in E(G)} d_k)}{d_i},$$

which we call the signless Laplacian average 3-degree of vertex v_i in G .

By Corollary 3.2, we have

Corollary 3.4: *Let G be a graph on n vertices without isolated vertices with signless Laplacian average 3-degrees $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n$. Let $\theta = \Delta^2 + (n - 1) - \frac{2\Delta^2}{\delta} - \frac{\Delta(n-2)}{\delta}$. Then for $1 \leq l \leq n$,*

$$\rho(Q(G)) \leq \sqrt{\frac{s_l + \theta + \sqrt{(s_l - \theta)^2 + 4(2\Delta + n - 2)\frac{\Delta}{\delta} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (s_k - s_l)}}{2}}.$$

Moreover, if G is connected, the equality holds for some $1 \leq l \leq n$ if and only if G has equal signless Laplacian average 2-degrees when $l = 1$, and $s_1 = \dots = s_n$ when $2 \leq l \leq n$.

The 5-vertex star S_5 is an irregular graph with the same signless Laplacian average 3-degree 25 for each vertex. By Corollary 3.4, $\rho(S_5) = 5$.

4. Remarks

In the literature, upper and lower bounds have been obtained for the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix using row sums and average 2-row sums. For a nonnegative matrix with positive row sums, maximum and minimum average 3-row sums have been used, respectively, to give upper and lower bounds for the spectral radius in [8]. In this paper, we give sharp upper and lower bounds for the spectral radius using average 3-row sums, and characterize the equality cases if the matrix is irreducible. Even in form, these bounds are different from the ones using the average 2-row sums.[7] Finally, these bounds are applied to the adjacency matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix of a digraph or a graph.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant number 11671156].

References

- [1] Horn RA, Johnson CR. Matrix analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1985.
- [2] Cohen JE. Derivatives of the spectral radius as a function of non-negative matrix elements. *Math Proc Cambridge Philos Soc.* 1978;83:183–190.
- [3] Duan X, Zhou B. Sharp bounds on the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2013;439:2961–2970.
- [4] Melman A. Upper and lower bounds for the Perron root of a nonnegative matrix. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 2013;61:171–181.
- [5] Minc H. Nonnegative matrices. New York (NY): Wiley; 1988.
- [6] Tasci D, Kirkland S. A sequence of upper bounds for the Perron root of a nonnegative matrix. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 1998;273:23–28.
- [7] Xing R, Zhou B. Sharp bounds for the spectral radius of nonnegative matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2014;449:194–209.
- [8] Zhang XD, Li JS. Spectral radius of non-negative matrices and digraphs. *Acta Math Sin (Engl Ser).* 2002;18:293–300.
- [9] Walters P. An introduction to ergodic theory. New York (NY): Springer-Verlag; 1982.
- [10] Brualdi RA. Spectra of digraphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2010;432:2181–2213.
- [11] Jin YL, Zhang XD. On the spectral radius of simple digraphs with prescribed number of arcs. *Discrete Math.* 2015;338:1555–1564.
- [12] Xu GH, Xu CQ. Sharp bounds for the spectral radius of digraphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2009;430:1607–1612.
- [13] Bozkurt SB, Bozkurt D. On the signless Laplacian spectral radius of digraphs. *Ars Combin.* 2013;108:193–200.
- [14] Cvetković D, Doob M, Sachs H. Spectra of graphs. New York (NY): Academic Press; 1980.
- [15] Cvetković D, Rowlinson P. The largest eigenvalue of a graph: a survey. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* 1990;28:3–33.
- [16] Cvetković D, Rowlinson P, Simić SK. Signless Laplacians of finite graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2007;423:155–171.
- [17] Hansen P, Lucas C. Bounds and conjectures for the signless Laplacian index of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2010;432:3319–3336.